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في هذا البحث تستخدم تعاريف المجمو عات المفتوحة من انماط

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } \alpha \text { - open , pre - open , b-open , } \beta \text { - open ) } \\
& \text { لتحديد تعاريف جديده لدو ال الهوية في الفضاءات اللثبولوجية, اسميناها } \\
& \alpha-\text { identification, pre - identification, } \mathrm{b}-\text { identification , } \beta \text { - identification } \\
& \text { وناقشثنا العلافة فيما بينهم . وايضـا "بعض صفات تلك الدو ال دُرست وبُر هنت . } \\
& \text { الدالة المفتاحية : }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\alpha$ - identification, pre - identification, $\mathbf{b}-$ identification , $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-identification


#### Abstract

In this paper , used the definitions of ( $\alpha-$ open , pre - open , b- open, $\beta$ - open ) sets in order to limit the identifications in topological space namely ( $\alpha$ - identification, pre-identification, $b$ - identification , $\beta$ - identification) functions and we discuss the relationship between them, as well as several properties of these functions are proved.
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Introduction and Preliminaries:
The concept of continuous ( $\alpha$-continuous, pre - continuous
, b -continuous, $\beta$-continuous ) function, irresolute( $\alpha$ - irresolute , pre - irresolute , $\mathrm{b}-$ irresoljute, $\beta$ - irresolute )function and contra - continouous(contra $-\alpha-$
continouous, contra pre - continouous
, contra -b - continouous, contra $-\beta-$ continouous) have been introduced and investigated by Mashhour [12, 13 ],Andrjevic [ 3 ] ,El-Monsef [ 5],( Maheshwair and Thakur) [10 ], (Jafaris and Noiri) [7, 8] and Calda [4] respectively. By using" semi-, ( $\alpha-$, pre,$- \beta-, b-$ ) open sets " have been introduced and investigated by Levine [9],Njasted [18 ], Mashhour [12,13 ], Andrjevic [ 3], El-Monsef [5 ] respectively.
AL-kutabi [ 1 ] in 1996 , introduces and studies some week identifications, the notion of semiidentification, Mazl [14] introduces the notion of b- identification. In this work, we study the concepts of types of identifications and discuss the relation between them .Also, we investigate it's relationship with other types of identifications.
" Throughout this paper $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{M}$ and $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$, will denote topological spaces for a subset $\mathcal{A}$ of space $(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{J}), \operatorname{int}(\mathcal{A}), \operatorname{cl}(\mathcal{A})$, denoted the interior and closure of a set $\mathcal{A}$, respectively ", and we indicate them by the following symbols : gof $=\mathcal{W}, \mathfrak{f}^{-1}=\mathfrak{G}, g^{-1}=\mathfrak{h}, f\left(\mathfrak{f}^{-1}\right)=\mathcal{F}$.
" A subset $\mathcal{A}$ of a space $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be:

1. $\alpha$-open set $[18]($ for short $\mathfrak{D}-)$ if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(\mathcal{A})))$. So $\mathcal{A}^{c}$ called $\alpha-\operatorname{closed}$ (for short $\mathfrak{D}=$ ).
2. pre -open set [ 12 ] (for short $\mathfrak{p}-$ ) if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\mathcal{A}))$. So $\mathcal{A}^{c}$ called pre - closed (for short $\mathfrak{p}=)$.
3. $\beta$-Open set $[5]$ (for short $\mathfrak{B}-$ ) if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\mathcal{A})))$. So $\mathcal{A}^{c}$ called $\beta-\operatorname{closed}$ (for short $\mathfrak{B}=$ ).
4. b -open set [3] (for short $\mathrm{b}-$ ) if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq\left(\operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(\mathcal{A})) \cup \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(\mathcal{A}))\right.$. So $\mathcal{A}^{c}$ called $\mathrm{b}-$ closed (for short $\mathfrak{b}=$ )."

# The relationship between types of identifications 

The family of all $(\mathfrak{D}-, \mathfrak{p}-, \mathfrak{B}-, \mathfrak{b}-)$ sets is denoted by $\mathfrak{D O}(\mathcal{H}), \mathfrak{p O}(\mathcal{H}), \mathfrak{B O}(\mathcal{H}), \mathfrak{b O}(\mathcal{H})$.
Remark : the diagram below shows the relationship between open sets .
open $\rightarrow \mathfrak{D}-\longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}-\longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}-\longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}-$
figure (1)
" The converse of these implications are not true in general".
Example 1:
Let $\mathcal{H}=\{d, k, \mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C}\}$ on $\mathfrak{J}=\{\mathcal{H}, \varphi,\{p, \mathcal{O}\},\{d, k\},\{d, k, p, \mathcal{O}\}\}$.
Then

- A subset $\{d\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{p}$ - but it does not $\mathfrak{D}$-.
- A subset $\{d, k, \mathcal{C}\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{b}-$ but it does not $\mathfrak{p}-$.
- A subset $\{p, \mathcal{C}\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{B}$-but it does not $\mathfrak{b}$ -
"The following definitions and results were introduced and studied ".
Definition 2: "Let a function of a space $\mathcal{H}$ into a space $\mathcal{M}$ then:
1- $\mathfrak{f}$ is called open (closed) function if the image of each open (closed) set in $\mathcal{H}$ is open(closed ) set in $\mathcal{M}$ [6].
2- $\mathfrak{f}$ is called $\mathfrak{D}-(\mathfrak{D}=)$ function if the image of each $\alpha-$ open $(\mathfrak{D}=)$ set in $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{D}-(\mathfrak{D}=)$ set in $\mathcal{M}$ [13].
3- $\mathfrak{f}$ is called $\mathfrak{p}-(\mathfrak{p}=)$ function if the image of each $\mathfrak{p}-(\mathfrak{p}=)$ set in $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{p}-(\mathfrak{p}=)$ set in $\mathcal{M}$ [12].
4- $\mathfrak{f}$ is called $\mathfrak{b}-(b=)$ function if the image of each $\mathfrak{b}-(b=)$ set in $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{b}-(b=)$ set in $\mathcal{M}$ [3].
5- $\mathfrak{f}$ is called $\mathfrak{B}-(\mathfrak{B}=)$ function if the image of each $\mathfrak{B}-(\mathfrak{B}=)$ set in $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathfrak{B}-(\mathfrak{B}=)$ set in $\mathcal{M}$ [5]. "
Remark : the diagram below holds for a functions .

$$
\text { open fun. } \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}-\text { fun. } \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}-\text { fun. } \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}-\text { fun. } \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}-\text { fun. }
$$

figure (2)
"Now by [ 3,5,12,13 ]and the following examples illustrate that The converse of these implication are not true in general" .
Definition 3: A function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called:
1- Acontinuous function if $\mathfrak{H}$ of any open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is a open set in $\mathcal{H}$ [6].
2- $\alpha$-continuous function if $\mathfrak{H}$ of any open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathfrak{D}$-set in $\mathcal{H}$ [13].
3- pre - continuous function if $\mathfrak{H}$ of any open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathfrak{p}$ - set in $\mathcal{H}$ [12].
4- b-continuous function if $\mathfrak{H}$ of any open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathfrak{b}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$ [2].
5- $\beta$-continuous function if $\mathfrak{H}$ of any open set in $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathfrak{B}$-set in $\mathcal{H}$ [5].
Remark: Mubarki in 2013 presented the following diagram that illustrates the relationship between the types of continuous functions. [ 15]

$$
\text { cont. } \rightarrow \alpha \text { - cont. } \rightarrow \text { pre }- \text { cont. } \rightarrow \mathrm{b}-\text { cont. } \rightarrow \beta \text { - cont. }
$$

figure (3)
"The converse of these implications are not true in general and the following examples" .
Example. 4:
Let $\mathcal{H}=\{d, k, p, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C}\} \quad$ on $\mathcal{J}=\{\mathcal{H}, \varphi,\{p, \mathrm{~d}\},\{d, k\},\{d, k, p, \mathrm{~d}\}\}$
1-Then $, \mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=k, \mathfrak{f}(k)=d, \mathfrak{f}(p)=p, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=k, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}$, is pre - continuous function but it is not $\alpha-$ cont.
2- Then, $\mathrm{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined $\operatorname{byf}(d)=d, \mathfrak{f}(k)=k, \mathfrak{f}(p)=p, f(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=k$, is $\mathrm{b}-$ cont. but it is not pre - cont.

3- Then, $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=f, \mathfrak{f}(k)=\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{f}(f)=d, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=k$, is $\beta$-cont. but it is not $\mathrm{b}-$ cont.
Definition 5:
A mapping $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called irresolute function[ 10] (resp. $\alpha$ - irresolute [10], pre irresolute[ 13], b - irresolute [3] $\beta$ - irresolute[5]) if $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{u})$ is open( $\mathfrak{D}-, \mathfrak{p}-, \mathfrak{b}-, \mathfrak{B}-)$ in $\mathcal{H}$ for each open $(\mathfrak{D}-, \mathfrak{p}-, \mathfrak{b}-, \mathfrak{B}-)$ in $\mathcal{M}$.
"Diagram (4)" :
irresol. $\rightarrow \alpha$-irresol. $\rightarrow$ pre - irresol. $\rightarrow \mathrm{b}$ - irresol. $\rightarrow \beta$ - irresol.
generally speaking, the opposite of the implication $s$ is not
necessarily true, as follows instance .
Example 6 :
Let $\mathcal{H}=\{d, k, p, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C}\} \quad$ on $\mathfrak{I}=\{\mathcal{H}, \varphi,\{p, \mathcal{O}\},\{d, k\},\{d, k, p, \mathcal{O}\}\}$
1- Then, the $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=d, \mathfrak{f}(k)=p, f(p)=k, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}$ ,is pre - irresol.and not $\alpha$-irresol.
2- Then, the $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=d, \mathfrak{f}(k)=k, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{p})=\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{p}$, is b irresol. and not pre - irresol.
3- Then the $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=\mathcal{p}, \mathfrak{f}(k)=k, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{p})=d, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{O}$, is $\beta$ irresol. and not b-irresol.
Definition 7 :
A function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called contra - continouous (resp.contra $\alpha-$ continouous, contra pre - continouous [6,7], contrab-continouous [2]contra $\beta$ continouous [4]), if $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{u})$ is closed $(\mathfrak{D}=, \mathfrak{p}=, \mathfrak{b}=, \mathfrak{B}=)$ in $\mathcal{H}$, for each open set $u$ of $\mathcal{M}$.
."Diagram (5)" : contra - cont. $\rightarrow$ contra $\alpha$ - cont. $\rightarrow$ contra pre - cont. $\rightarrow$ contrab-cot. $\rightarrow$ contra $\beta$ - cot.
The examples show that the reversal of the chart is incorrect.
Example 8:
Let $\mathcal{H}=\{d, k, p, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C}\}$ on $\mathfrak{J}=\{\mathcal{H}, \varphi,\{p, \mathcal{O}\},\{d, \mathrm{~b}\},\{d, k, p, \mathcal{O}\}\}$
1 -Then, $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{f}(k)=k, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{p})=d, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=p$.
Iscontra pre - cont.but it is not contra $\alpha-$ cont.
2- Then, $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=p, f(k)=\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{p})=d, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=k, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}$.
Is contra b - cont but not contra pre - cont.
3-Then, $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=d, \mathfrak{f}(k)=\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{p})=\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=k, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}$.
Is contra $\beta$ - cont.but not contra b-cont.
A Study of some new types of identifications:
In this section, we introduce new definitions of ( $\alpha$ - identification, pre - identification, $b-$ identification, $\beta$ - identification) functions by using ( $\mathfrak{D}-, \mathfrak{p}-\mathfrak{b}-, \mathfrak{B}-$ ) sets and study the relations between them .
Definition 9:" A function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called $\alpha$ - identification Iff $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto and one of the following condition satisfies "
$--U$ is $\mathfrak{D}-\operatorname{in} \mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{u})$ is $\mathfrak{D}-\operatorname{in} \mathcal{H}$.
--U is $\mathfrak{D}=\operatorname{in} \mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{u})$ is $\mathfrak{D}=\operatorname{in} \mathcal{H}$.

For example : Let $\mathcal{H}=\{d, k, p, \mathcal{O}\}$ and $\mathcal{M}=\{1,2,3\}$ be equipped with the topologies $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{H}}=$ $\{\mathcal{H}, \varphi,\{k, \mathfrak{p}\},\{d, k\},\{k\}\}, \mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{M}}=\{\varphi, \mathcal{M},\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{2\}\}$
If $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=1, \mathfrak{f}(k)=2, \mathfrak{f}(\mathfrak{p})=3, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=3$.
we get $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha$ - identification.
Proposition 10 :

Every $\alpha$ - irresolute and $\mathfrak{D}-(\mathfrak{D}=)$ onto functions is $\alpha$ - identification.
Proof : A $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{D}$ - since $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto and $\mathfrak{D}-$,
so $(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{U}))=\mathrm{U}$ is $\mathfrak{D}-$. and $\mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.
is $\alpha$ - irresolute hanc $\mathfrak{y}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, so $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha$ - identification. $\mathfrak{f}$
While if :
If $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is onto, $\mathfrak{D}=$ and $\alpha-$ irresolute,
Hence $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{D}-$, implies $(\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U}))^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{D}=$, which $\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right)=\left(\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{D}=$,
Since $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha$ - irresolute by def. so $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha$ - identification. $\mathfrak{p}$-in $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$.
Definition11 :
" A function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called pre - identification if $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto and U is $\mathfrak{p}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathrm{f}^{-1}(\mathrm{u})$ is $\mathfrak{p}-$ in $\mathcal{H}^{~ " .}$
Remark: from figure (1) we get every $\alpha$ - identification is pre - identification but the opposite is not true.
As follows instance.
$\mathcal{H}=\{d, k, \mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C}\}, \mathcal{M}=\{d, k, p, \mathcal{O}\}$ be equipped with topologies $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ $\{\mathcal{H}, \varphi,\{p, \mathcal{O}\},\{d, k\},\{d, k, p, \mathcal{O}\}\}$ and $\Im_{\mathcal{M}}=\{\varphi, Y,\{d, k\},\{k, p\},\{k\}\}$.
If $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=d, \mathfrak{f}(k)=k, \mathfrak{f}(p)=p$,
$\mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=p$, we get $\mathfrak{f}$ is pre - identification.
Lemma 12: A onto function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called pre-identification, U is $\mathfrak{p}=$ in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{p}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$.
Proposition 13 :
Every pre - irresolute and $\mathfrak{p}-(\mathfrak{p}=)$ ontofunctions is pre - identification
Proof :
from " figure ( 1,4 )" every $\mathfrak{D}$ - function is $\mathfrak{p}$-function and $\alpha$ - irresolute is pre - irresolute, by Proposition 10, we get every $\mathfrak{f} \alpha$ - irresolute is pre - irresolute. Definition 14:
" A function $\mathrm{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called b - identification if f is onto and one of the following condition satisfies "

1) $U$ is $b-$ in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}(u)$ is $b-$ in $\mathcal{H}$.
2) U is $\mathfrak{b}=$ in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{u})$ is $\mathfrak{b}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$. [3]
"from figure (1) every $\mathfrak{p}$ - is $\mathfrak{b}$ - then for each pre - identification is b-identification." We note from an example 1 :
be defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=d, \mathfrak{f}(k)=k, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{p})=\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\boldsymbol{p}, \quad$ A $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$
then $\mathfrak{f}$ is b - identification but not pre - identification, since $\mathfrak{S}\{d, k, p\}=\{d, k, \mathcal{C}\} \notin$ $\mathrm{PO}(\mathcal{H})$.
Proposition 15 :
If $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is onto, $\mathfrak{b}-(b=)$ and $b-$ irresolute then $f$ is $b$-identification. [3]. Proposition 16 :
The composition of two, $\alpha$-identification ( pre - identification, $b$ - identification)
functions is $\alpha$-identification(pre - identification, $b$ - identification).
Proof :
Suppose that $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ are $\alpha$ - identifications
"Whenever The compo. of two onto functions is onto ".
Now, if $U$ be any $\mathfrak{D}$-in $\mathcal{K}$, by hypo. $\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{f}$ are $\alpha$ - identifications then $\mathfrak{h}(U)$ is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and we have $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{U}))=(\mathcal{W})^{-1}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{D}-\operatorname{in} \mathcal{H}$. implies $U$ is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, thus $\mathcal{W}$ is $\alpha-$ identification.

Similarly ,to prove $\mathcal{W}$ is (pre - identification, b-identification).
Proposition 17 :
$\mathrm{A} \mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ are functions and $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha$ - identification (pre-
identification, b - identification)
then the following statement are valid :
1- If $\mathcal{W}$ is $\alpha$ - cont. (pre - cont., b - cont. )then $\mathcal{G}$ is $\alpha$ - cont. (pre - cont., b cont.).
2- If $\mathcal{W}$ is $\alpha$-irresolute (pre - irresolute, b - irresolute .)then $\mathcal{G}$ is $\alpha$ irresolute. (pre - irresolute , b-irresolute ).
3- If $\mathcal{W}$ is contra $\alpha$ - cont. (contra pre - cont., contra b-cont.)
then $\mathcal{G}$ is contra $\alpha-$ cont. (contra pre - cont.,contra $\mathrm{b}-$ cont.).
Proof :

1) Let $\mathcal{W}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is $\alpha$ - cont., Assume that k any an open set in $\aleph$, Let $\mathrm{V}=$ $\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k})$ and $\mathrm{U}=\mathfrak{G}(\mathrm{V})$, whenever $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k})=\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k}))=\mathrm{U}$ is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, but $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha$ - identif.
then V is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$. $\operatorname{So} \mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k}) \mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$, so $\mathcal{G}$ is $\alpha-$ cont.
2) Assume that k any an $\mathfrak{D}-\operatorname{set}$ in $\mathfrak{N}$, Let $\mathrm{V}=\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k})$ and $\mathrm{U}=\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{V})$, we have $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k})=\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k}))=\mathrm{U}$, that is, U is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, we get $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, but $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha$-identif.
, then V is $\mathfrak{D}-\operatorname{in} \mathcal{M}$. whenever $\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k}) \mathfrak{D}-\mathrm{in} \mathcal{M}$.
So $\mathcal{G}$ is $\alpha$-irresolute.
3) Assume that $k$ any an $\mathfrak{D}-$ set in $Z$, Let $V=\mathfrak{h}(k)$ and $U=\mathfrak{H}(V)$, we have $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k})=\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k}))=\mathrm{U}$ is $\mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathfrak{D}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, but $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\alpha-$ identif. , then $\mathrm{V}=\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k})$ is $\mathfrak{D}=$ in $\mathcal{M}$, thus $\mathcal{G}$ is contra $\alpha$ - cont.
Definition 18 :
" A function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called $\beta$ - identification if $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto and U is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{u})$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}$.
" from figure (1) we get every b - identification is $\beta$ - identification but the converse is not true". From instance 1 : let $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be defined by $\mathfrak{f}(d)=d, \mathfrak{f}(k)=k, \mathfrak{f}(p)=$ $\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{O})=\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{f}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{P}$
then $\mathfrak{f}$ is b - identification but not pre - identification, since $\mathfrak{G}\{d, k, p\}=\{d, k, \mathcal{C}\} \notin$ $\mathfrak{p O}(\mathcal{H})$.
Proposition 19:
A onto function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called $\beta$ - identification if U is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{M}$ iff $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$.
Proof : If U subset of $\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{B}=$ then $U^{c}$ is $\mathfrak{B}-\operatorname{in} \mathcal{M}$, since $f$ is $\beta$ - identification, so $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$, (by def. $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto, $(\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U}))^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Similarly ,if $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$, in $\mathcal{H}$, we get $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})^{c}=\mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta$ - identif., we get U is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{M}$.
Assume that $U$ be $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $Y$ then $U^{\mathrm{c}}$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{M}$, whenever $(\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U}))^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$, so $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Similarly,
if $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$, we get $(\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U}))^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$,
and then $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{c}}$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$, so U is $\mathfrak{B}-$.
proposition 20 :
If $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is onto, $\mathfrak{B}-(\mathfrak{B}=)$ and $\beta$-irresolute then $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta$ - identification.

Proof :
Assume that U is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}, \mathrm{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, such that $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$. whenever $(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{U}))=\mathrm{U}$, we get U is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}($ Since $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\mathfrak{B}=$ in $\mathcal{H})$. ,so $U^{\mathrm{c}}$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, and since $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta$ - irresolute then $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, whenever $\mathfrak{f}$ is onto $(\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U}))^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \quad$ imples $\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, thus, by Proposition 19 , then $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta$ - identification.
Theorem 21: The below stated expressions are hold .
1 - every identification is $\alpha$ - identification.
2 - every $\alpha$ - identification is pre - identification.
3- every pre - identification is $b$ - identification.
4- every b - identification is $\beta$ - identification.
Proof : obvious.
Remark : " the above examples show that the inverse theorem is not necessarily true ."
Proposition 22 :
"The composition of two $\beta$ - identification functions is $\beta$ - identification".
Proof:
Let $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ are $\beta$ - identifications
"Whenever The compo. of two onto functions is onto".
,If $U$ be any $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{N}$, by hypo. $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathfrak{f}$ are $\beta$ - identifications then $\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{B}-\mathrm{in} \mathcal{M}$ and we have $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{U}))=(\mathcal{W})^{-1}(\mathrm{U})$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, implies $U$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, thus $\mathcal{W}$ is $\beta-$ identification.
Proposition23:
$\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be functions and $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta$ - identificationthen the following statement are valid:

1- If $\mathcal{W}$ is $\beta$ - cont. then $\mathcal{G}$ is $\beta$ - cont.
2- If $\mathcal{W}$ is $\beta$-irresolute then $\mathcal{G}$ is $\beta$-irresolute.
3- If $\mathcal{W}$ is contra $\beta$ - cont. then $\mathcal{G}$ is contra $\beta$ - cont.

## Proof :

1 ) Let $\mathcal{W} \mathrm{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is $\beta$ - cont., Assume that k any an open set in $\mathbb{N}$, Let $\mathrm{V}=$ $\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k})$ and $\mathrm{U}=\mathfrak{H}(\mathrm{V})$, we have $\mathrm{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k})=\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k}))=\mathrm{U}$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, but $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta$ - identification , then V is $\mathfrak{B}-\operatorname{in} \mathcal{M} . \operatorname{So} \mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k})$ $\mathfrak{B}-\operatorname{in} \mathcal{M}$, thus $\mathcal{G}$ is $\beta$ - cont.
2) Assume that $k$ any an $\mathfrak{B}-$ set in $\mathfrak{N}$, Let $V=\mathfrak{h}(k)$ and $U=\mathfrak{G}(V)$, we have $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k})=\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k}))=\mathrm{U}$, that is, $\mathrm{U} \mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, we get $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, but $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta$ - identif. , then V is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{M}$, thus $\mathcal{G}$ is $\beta$-irresolute.
3) Assume that k any an $\mathfrak{B}-$ set in $\mathcal{K}$, Let $\mathrm{V}=\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k})$ and $\mathrm{U}=\mathfrak{G}(\mathrm{V})$, we have $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k})=\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{h}(\mathrm{k}))=\mathrm{U}$ is $\mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$. So $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathfrak{B}-$ in $\mathcal{H}$, but $\mathfrak{f}$ is $\beta-$ identifi., , then $V=\mathfrak{h}$.
Remark : from the above discussion and known results we have the following implications.
identification $\rightarrow \alpha$ - identification $\rightarrow$ pre - identification $\rightarrow \mathrm{b}$ - identification $\rightarrow \beta$ - identification
figure (6)

Definition 24 : " A space ( $\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{J}$ ) is said to be $\alpha-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$ (pre- $\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}-\mathfrak{I}_{1}, \beta-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$ ) [8,11,16, 18 ] iff for each a pair of distinct points $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, each belongs to an $\mathfrak{D}-(\mathfrak{p}-\quad, \mathfrak{b}-, \mathfrak{B}-)$ sets which does not contain the other .
Theorem 25: A function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is $\alpha$ - identification and $\mathcal{M}$ is $\alpha-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$, then $\mathcal{H}$ is $\alpha-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$. Proof : let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathcal{H}, \mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$, since $\mathcal{M}$ is $\alpha-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$, there exist $\mathfrak{D}-\mathrm{s}$ ets $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, Of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{f}(x) \in M_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{f}(y) \in M_{2}, f(y) \notin M_{1}$ and $f(x) \notin M_{2}$.
Since function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is $\alpha$ - identification, we have

$$
\mathrm{x} \in \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right), \mathrm{y} \in \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right) \text { and } \mathrm{x} \notin \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right), \mathrm{y} \notin \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)
$$

hence then $\mathcal{H}$ is $\alpha-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$.
Theorem 26: A function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is pre - identification and $\mathcal{M}$ is pre $-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$,then $\mathcal{H}$ is pre $-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$.
Proof : let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathcal{H}, \mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$,since $\mathcal{M}$ is pre $-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$, there exist $\mathfrak{p}-$ sets $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}, 0$ f $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{f}(x) \in M_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{f}(y) \in M_{2}, \mathfrak{f}(y) \notin M_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{f}(x) \notin M_{2}$. Since function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is pre - identification, we have $x \in \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$, $\mathrm{y} \in \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{x} \notin \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$, y $\notin \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$ hence then $\mathcal{H}$ is pre $-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$.
Theorem 27: A function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is b - identification and $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathrm{b}-\Im_{1}$, then $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathrm{b}-$ $\widetilde{J}_{1}$.
Proof : letx, $\mathrm{y} \in \mathcal{H}, \mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$, since $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathrm{b}-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$, there exist $\mathrm{b}-$ sets $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$,of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{f}(x) \in M_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{f}(y) \in M_{2}, \mathfrak{f}(y) \notin M_{1}$ and $f(x) \notin M_{2}$. Since function $f: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is $b-$ identification,
we have $\mathrm{x} \in \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right), \mathrm{y} \in \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{x} \notin \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$, $\mathrm{y} \notin \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$
hence then $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathrm{b}-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$.
Theorem 28: A function $\mathfrak{f}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is $\beta$ - identification and $\mathcal{M}$ is $\beta-\Im_{1}$ then $\mathcal{H}$ is $\beta-$ $\mathfrak{I}_{1}$.
Proof : let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathcal{H}, \mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$, since $\mathcal{M}$ is $\beta-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$, there exist $\mathfrak{B}$ - sets $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$,of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathfrak{f}(x) \in M_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{f}(y) \in M_{2}, f(y) \notin M_{1}$ and $f(x) \notin M_{2}$. Since function $f: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is $\beta-$ identification, we have $x \in \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right), y \in \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{x} \notin \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right), \mathrm{y} \notin \mathfrak{H}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right)$
hence then $\mathcal{H}$ is $\beta-\mathfrak{J}_{1}$.
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