

Quasi- essential submodules

Haibat K. Mohammadali

Department of mathematics College of Education
 University of Tikrit
 Iraq

المستخلص

لتكن R حلقة ابدالية بمحايد و M مقاساً أحادياً على R . المقاس الجزئي الفعلي N من القياس M يدعى مقاس جزئي جوهري إذا كان $(0) \neq N \cap K$ لكل مقاس جزئي غير صفري K من M المقاس. الجزئي الفعلي L من المقاس M يدعى مقاس جزئي شبه جوهري إذا كان $(0) \neq L \cap P$ لكل مقاس جزئي أولي غير صفري P من M وهو تعميم إلى المقاس الجزئي الجوهري.

في هذا البحث أعطينا تعميم آخر للمقاسات الجزئية الجوهرية وأسميناها المقاسات الجوهرية ظاهرياً. حيث عرفنا المقاس الجزئي الفعلي الجوهري ظاهرياً H من المقاس M ، إذا كان $(0) \neq H \cap Q$ لكل مقاس جزئي أولي ظاهرياً Q من M . كل مقاس جزئي جوهري يعطي مقاس جزئي جوهري ظاهرياً (شبه جوهري) وكل مقاس جزئي جوهري ظاهرياً يكون مقاساً جزئياً شبه جوهري والعكس غير صحيح. هدفنا في هذا البحث هو دراسة الخواص الأساسية للمقاسات الجزئية الجوهرية ظاهرياً والمثاليات شبه الجوهرية في R . ودرسنا المقاسات التي تحقق (Dcc) (Acc) للمقاسات الجزئية الجوهرية ظاهرياً.

ABSTRACT

Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and M be a unitary R -module. A proper submodule N of an R -module M is called an essential if $N \cap K \neq (0)$ for each non-zero submodule K of M , and a proper submodule L of an R -module M is called semi-essential if $L \cap P \neq (0)$ for each non-zero prime submodule P of M , which is a generalization of essential submodules.

In this paper we give another generalization of essential submodule, we call it a quasi-essential submodule, where we call a proper submodule H of an R -module M a quasi-essential if $H \cap Q \neq (0)$ for each non-zero quasi-prime R -submodule Q of M . Every essential submodule is a quasi-essential submodule

(semi-essential submodule), and every quasi-essential submodule is semi-essential but the converse is not true. Our main goal in this work is to study the basic properties of quasi-essential submodules, and semi-essential ideals in R . Also we study the modules that satisfies $\text{Acc}(\text{Dcc})$ on quasi-essential submodules.

Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and M be a unitary R -module.

A proper submodule N of an R -module M is called an essential if $N \cap K \neq (0)$ for each non-zero submodule K of M [4]. and a proper submodule L of an R -module M is called semi-essential if $L \cap P \neq (0)$ for each non-zero prime submodule P of M , [2] which is a generalization of essential submodules. We give another generalization of essential submodule, call it quasi-essential submodule. A proper submodule N' of M is called quasi-essential submodule if $N' \cap Q \neq (0)$ for each non-zero quasi-prime submodule Q of M , where quasi-prime submodule was introduced in [1], as a generalization of prime submodule which was introduced in [6], recall that an R -submodule N of an R -module M is called a prime submodule, if $rm \in N, m \in M, r \in R$, then either $m \in N$ or $r \in [N : M]$, where $[N : M] = \{r \in R : rM \subseteq N\}$, and we recall that an R -submodule Q of R -module M is a quasi-prime, if $r_1 r_2 m \in Q, m \in M, r_1, r_2 \in R$ then either $r_1 m \in Q$ or $r_2 m \in Q$. Since every prime submodule is a quasi-prime and the converse is not true [1].

We prove that every quasi-essential submodule is semi-essential but the converse is not true see Example and remark 1.2.

In the first section of this paper, we introduce the concept of quasi-essential submodule, and study some basic properties of this concept.

In the second section, we introduce the concept of a quasi-essential homomorphism. In the third section, we study a quasi-submodules in multiplication module. In the fourth section we study modules that satisfies $\text{Acc}(\text{Dcc})$ on quasi-essential submodules.

§1 Quasi-essential submodules

In this section, we introduce the concept of a quasi-essential submodule as a generalization of essential submodule and we give the basic properties characterization, and examples of this concept.

Definition 1.1

A non-zero submodule N of an R -module M is called a quasi-essential submodule if $N \cap Q \neq (0)$ for each non-zero quasi-prime submodule Q of M .

Examples and Remarks 1.2

- 1- Every essential submodule is a quasi-essential submodule, but the converse is not true as the following example says: In the Z -module Z_{12} , the submodule $(\bar{6})$ is a quasi-essential submodule but not essential submodule of Z_{12} , since $(\bar{6}) \cap (\bar{4}) = (\bar{0})$. But $(\bar{6}) \cap (\bar{3}) \neq (\bar{0})$ and $(\bar{6}) \cap (\bar{2}) \neq (\bar{0})$ where $(\bar{2})$ and $(\bar{3})$ are the only quasi-prime submodule of Z_{12} .
- 2- Every quasi-essential submodule is semi-essential, but the converse is not true as the following example says: In the Z -module $M = Z \oplus Z$, the only prime submodules are of the forms $Z \oplus pZ$ and $pZ \oplus Z$ where p is a prime number. The submodule $N = 0 \oplus Z$ of M is semi-essential, but not a quasi-essential, since $(2Z \oplus 0) \cap (0 \oplus Z) = (0)$ where $2Z \oplus 0$ is quasi-prime submodule of M not prime submodule [1].
- 3- A submodule of quasi-essential submodule need not be quasi-essential submodules. In the Z -module Z_{12} the submodule $(\bar{2})$ is a quasi-essential submodule of Z_{12} , but the submodule $(\bar{4}) \subseteq (\bar{2})$ is not a quasi-essential submodule of Z_{12} , since $(\bar{4}) \cap (\bar{3}) = (\bar{0})$.
- 4- Every submodule of the Z -module Z is quasi-essential submodule.
- 5- Every proper submodule of the Z -module Z_{p^∞} is quasi-essential submodules.

6- In semi-simple R-module M, the only quasi-essential submodule is M itself.

Theorem1.3

Let M be an R-module, and N_1, N_2 are submodules of M such that $N_1 \subseteq N_2$. If N_1 is a quasi-essential submodule of M, then N_2 is quasi-essential submodule of M.

Proof: Suppose that for some quasi-prime submodule Q of M, $N_2 \cap Q = (0)$. But N_1 is subset of N_2 , then $N_1 \cap Q = (0)$. Since N_1 is a quasi-essential submodule of M, then $Q = (0)$. Hence N_2 is a quasi-essential submodule of M.

As a direct consequence of the above theorem we get the following corollaries:

Corollary1.4

Let M be an R-module, and N_1, N_2 be submodules of M with $N_1 \cap N_2$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M, then N_1 and N_2 are quasi-essential submodule of M.

The converse of corollary 1.4 is not true in general, for example, in the Z-module Z_{36} , the submodules $(\overline{12})$ and $(\overline{18})$ are quasi-essential submodule of Z_{36} . But $(\overline{12}) \cap (\overline{18}) = (0)$ is not a quasi-essential submodule of Z_{36} , since the only quasi-prime submodule of Z_{36} are $(\overline{2})$ and $(\overline{3})$.

Now we gives a partial converse of corollary 1.4.

Proposition1.5

Let M be an R-module, and N_1, N_2 be two submodules of M with N_2 dose not contained in any quasi-prime submodule of M. If N_1 is a quasi-essential submodule of N_2 and N_2 is quasi-essential submodule of M. Then $N_1 \cap N_2$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M.

Proof Suppose that $(N_1 \cap N_2) \cap Q = (0)$ for some quasi-prime submodule Q of M. Since $N_2 \not\subseteq Q$, then $(N_2 \cap Q)$ is quasi-prime submodule of N_2 by [1, prop.2.1.12]. But N_1 is a quasi-essential submodule of N_2 , then $N_2 \cap Q = (0)$. But N_2 is a quasi-essential

submodule of M , then $Q = (0)$. Hence $N_1 \cap N_2$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Proposition 1.6

Let M be an R -module, and N_1, N_2 be two submodules of M such that N_1 is essential submodule of M and N_2 is quasi-essential submodule of M . Then $N_1 \cap N_2$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

proof

Let Q be a non-zero quasi-prime submodule of M . Since N_2 is quasi-essential submodule of M , then $N_2 \cap Q \neq (0)$. Since N_1 is an essential submodule of M , then $N_1 \cap (N_2 \cap Q) \neq (0)$, and so we get $(N_1 \cap N_2) \cap Q \neq (0)$, which implies that $N_1 \cap N_2$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Before we give of consequence of theorem 1.3 we recall the following definitions :

Let N be a submodule of an R -module M , and S be a multiplicative set of R . $N(S) = \{x \in M : \exists t \in S, tx \in N\}$, $N(S)$ is an R -submodule of M contains N [5]. We define a closure of a submodule N , denoted by $cl(N) = \{m \in M : [N : (m)] \text{ essential in } R\}$. $cl(N)$ is a submodule of M , and $N \subseteq cl(N)$.

The definition of M -radical of a submodule N of an R -module was given in [5] as the intersection of all prime submodule of M containing N , and denoted by \sqrt{N} .

Corollary 1.7

Let M be an R -module, and N is quasi-essential submodule of M . Then

1. $N(S)$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .
2. $cl(N)$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .
3. \sqrt{N} is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Corollary 1.8

Let M be an R -module, and N_1, N_2 are two submodules of M such that either N_1 or N_2 is quasi-essential submodule of M , then $N_1 + N_2$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Theorem 1.9

Let N be a submodule of M . Then N is a quasi-essential submodule of an R -module M if and only if $\left[N : I \right]_M$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M for each non-zero ideal I of R .

Proof

Suppose that N is a quasi-essential submodule of M , and I is an ideal of R . Since $N \subseteq \left[N : I \right]_M$, then by theorem 1.3 $\left[N : I \right]_M$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

The converse followed by taking $I=R$.

Proposition 1.10

Let M be an R -module, then a non-zero R -submodule N of M is a quasi-essential submodule of M if and only if for each non-zero quasi-prime submodule Q of M , there exist x in Q and there exist a non-zero r in R such that

$$0 \neq rx \in N .$$

Proof

Suppose that N is a quasi-essential submodule of M , then $N \cap Q \neq (0)$ for each non-zero quasi-prime submodule Q of M . Then $\exists x \neq 0, x \in N \cap Q$. Thus $x \in N$ and $x \in Q$. Hence $0 \neq 1x \in N$.

Conversely: Suppose that for each non-zero quasi-prime submodule Q' of M , $\exists x \neq 0, x \in Q'$ and $\exists r \neq 0, r \in R \ni rx \in N$, since $r \in R$ and $x \in Q'$, then $rx \in Q'$ so that $0 \neq rx \in N \cap Q'$. Thus $N \cap Q' \neq (0)$ and hence N is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Proposition 1.11

Let M_1 and M_2 be two R -modules, and let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, such that every submodule N of M is of the form $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$, where N_1 and N_2 are submodules of M_1 and M_2 respectively, if N_1 is a quasi-essential submodule of M_1 and N_2 is a quasi-essential submodule of M_2 , then $N = N_1 \oplus N_2$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Proof

Let Q be a quasi-prime submodule of M , then $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2$ where Q_1 is a quasi-prime submodule of M_1 and Q_2 is a quasi-prime submodule of M_2 [1, prop.2.2.7].

Since N_1 is a quasi-essential submodule of M_1 , then by prop1.10

$\exists 0 \neq x_1 \in Q_1$ & $0 \neq r \in R \ni 0 \neq rx_1 \in N_1$ and Since N_2 is a quasi-essential submodule of M_2 , then by prop1.10

$\exists 0 \neq x_2 \in Q_2$ & $0 \neq r \in R \ni 0 \neq rx_2 \in N_2$.

Hence $(0,0) \neq (rx_1, rx_2) \in N = N_1 \oplus N_2$. i.e. $(0,0) \neq r(x_1, x_2) \in N_1 \oplus N_2$

where $(0,0) \neq (x_1, x_2) \in Q$. That is N is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Corollary 1.12

Let M be an R -module, and N be a submodule of M . If N is a quasi-essential submodule of M , then $N^2 = N \oplus N$ is a quasi-essential submodule of $M^2 = M \oplus M$.

Proposition 1.13

Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ be the direct sum of two R -modules M_1 and M_2 . If N_1 is a quasi-essential submodule of M_1 , then $N_1 \oplus M_2$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Proof

Since N_1 is a quasi-essential submodule of M_1 and M_2 is a quasi-essential submodule of M_2 , then by prop. 1.11, we have $N_1 \oplus M_2$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

In the following proposition, we study the behavior of a quasi-essential submodules under localization.

Proposition 1.14

Let M be an R -module, and N is a submodule of M . If N_s is a quasi-essential R_s -submodule of M_s , then N is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Proof

Let Q be a quasi-prime submodule of M , then Q_s is a quasi-prime submodule of M_s by [1,prop.2.3.3]. Since N_s is quasi-essential R_s -submodule of M_s , then $N_s \cap Q_s \neq (0)$. That is $(N \cap Q)_s \neq (0)$, then $(N \cap Q) \neq (0)$, which implies that N is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

§2: Quasi-essential Homomorphism

In this section we introduce the concept of quasi-essential homomorphism, and study properties of this concept.

Definition 2.1

An R -homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M'$, where M & M' are two R -modules, is called a quasi-essential homomorphism if $f(M)$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M' .

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward and hence omitted.

Proposition 2.2

Let M be an R -module, and N be an R -submodule of M , then N is a quasi-essential submodule of M if and only if the inclusion function $i : N \rightarrow M$ is a quasi-essential monomorphism.

Proposition 2.3

Let M & M' be an R -modules and $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be an R -epimorphism, then

1. If N is a quasi-essential submodule of M , then $f(N)$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M' .
2. If N' is a quasi-essential submodule of M' and $\text{Ker}f \subseteq Q$ for each quasi-prime submodule Q of M , then $f^{-1}(N')$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Proof

- 1- Let Q' be a quasi-prime submodule of M' , then $f^{-1}(Q')$ is quasi-prime submodule of M [1,prop.2.3.1]. But N is a quasi-essential submodule of M , then $N \cap f^{-1}(Q') \neq (0) \Rightarrow f(N) \cap Q' \neq (0)$.
- 2- Suppose that $f^{-1}(N') \cap Q = (0)$ for some quasi-prime submodule Q of M . Thus $N' \cap f(Q) = (0)$ since Q is a quasi-prime submodule of M , with $\text{Ker}f \subseteq Q$, then $f(Q)$ is a quasi-prime submodule of M' by [1,prop.2.3.1]. But N' a quasi-essential submodule of M' , then $f(Q) = (0)$ which implies that $Q \subseteq \text{ker}f \subseteq f^{-1}(N')$ and hence $Q = f^{-1}(N') \cap Q = (0)$ that is $Q = (0)$. Hence $f^{-1}(N')$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Corollary 2.4

Let K and N be two submodule of an R -module M and $K \subseteq N$ & $N \subseteq Q$ for each quasi- prime submodule Q of M . Then $\frac{N}{K}$ is a quasi-essential submodule of $\frac{M}{N}$ if and only if N is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Proposition 2.5

Let M_1 and M_2 be two R -modules, and let $Hom_R(M_1, K)$ be a proper sub -module of $Hom_R(M_1, M_2)$ for any submodule K of M . If $Hom_R(M_1, N)$ is a quasi-essential submodule of $Hom_R(M_1, M_2)$, then N is a quasi-essential submodule of M_2 .

Proof

Let Q be a quasi- prime submodule of M_2 , then $Hom_R(M_1, Q)$ is a quasi- prime submodule of $Hom_R(M_1, M_2)$ by [1,prop.2.3.6]. But $Hom_R(M_1, N)$ is a quasi-essential submodule of $Hom_R(M_1, M_2)$, then $\exists f, 0 \neq f \in Hom_R(M_1, Q)$ & $0 \neq r \in R \ni 0 \neq rf \in Hom_R(M_1, N)$ [by prop. 1.10]. i.e. $rf(m) \in N \forall m \in M_1$ & $0 \neq f(m) \in Q$. Therefore N is a quasi-essential submodule of M_2 .

Corollary 2.6

If $Hom_R(M, N)$ is a quasi-essential submodule of $Hom_R(M, M)$, then N is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

§3: Quasi –essential submodules in multiplication modules

This section is devoted to study quasi-essential submodules in multiplication modules.

We need to recall the following definitions:

Recall that an R -module M is called multiplication module if for each submodule N of M , there exist an ideal I of R such that $N = IM$ [3].

Recall that a non-zero ideal I of a ring R is semi-essential ideal if $I \cap P \neq (0)$ for every non-zero prime ideal P of R [2].

We start by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1

Let M be faithful multiplication R -module, and N is a submodule of M such that $N = IM$ for some ideal I of R . Then N is a quasi-essential submodule of M if and only if I is semi-essential ideal of R .

Proof

Suppose that N a quasi-essential submodule of M , and let $I \cap P = (0)$ for some prime ideal P of R . Since M is faithful multiplication module, then $(0) = (I \cap P)M = IM \cap PM$. Since P is prime ideal, then PM is quasiprime submodule of M [3.lemma2.10]. which implies that PM is quasi-prime submodule of M [1.Remark 2.1.2(1)]. Since $N = IM$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M , then $PM = (0)$. But M is faithful module, then $P = (0)$. Therefore I is semi-essential ideal of R .

Conversely: Suppose that I is semi-essential ideal of, and let $N \cap Q = (0)$, for some quasi-prime submodule Q of M . Since M is multiplication, then Q is a prime submodule of M by [1,Prop.2.1.9]. Now since M is multiplication module, then there exist an ideal P of R such that $Q = PM$ [3, Lemma2.11]. Hence

$(0) = N \cap Q = IM \cap PM = (I \cap P)M$. But M is faithful multiplication, then $I \cap P = (0)$. Since I is semi-essential ideal of R , then $P = (0)$.

Hence $Q = PM = (0)$. Therefore N is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Proposition 3.2

Let M be faithful multiplication R -module, and N is a submodule of M . Then N is a quasi-essential submodule of M if and only if $[N : (x)]$ is semi-essential ideal of R for each x in M .

Proof

Suppose that N is a quasi-essential submodule of M . Then by prop.3.1 $[N : M]$ is semi-essential ideal of R . But for each x in M $[N : M] \subseteq [N : (x)]$. Since M is faithful multiplication, then $[N : M]M \subseteq [N : (x)]M$ [3]. But $[N : M]M$ is a quasi-essential submodule of M . Therefore $[N : (x)]$ is semi-essential ideal of R by Prop.3.1.

Conversely: Suppose that $[N : (x)]$ is semi-essential ideal of R for each x in M . Let Q be non-zero quasi-prime submodule of M such that $0 \neq x \in Q$. Since M is multiplication module, then Q is prime submodule of M by [1, Prop.2.1.9], and $Q = PM$, where P is a prime ideal of R . But $[N : (x)]$ is semi-essential ideal of R , then $[N : (x)] \cap P \neq (0)$, and since M is faithful multiplication, then $[N : (x)]M \cap PM \neq (0)$. That is $N \cap Q \neq (0)$ and hence N is a quasi-essential submodule of M .

Theorem 3.3

Let M be faithful multiplication R -module and $N = IM$ is a submodule of M for some ideal I of R . Then the following statement are equivalent:

1. N is a quasi-essential submodule of M .
2. I is semi-essential ideal of R .
3. N is semi-essential submodule of M .
4. $[N : (x)]$ is semi-essential ideal of R for all $0 \neq x \in M$.

Proof

$1 \rightarrow 2$ [Prop.3.1].

$2 \rightarrow 3$ [2, Prop 2.1.1].

$3 \rightarrow 4$ [2, Prop 2.1.2].

$4 \rightarrow 1$ by Prop.3.2.

§4: Modules that satisfies Acc (Dcc) on Quasi –essential submodules

An R -module M is said to be satisfy the ascending (descending) chain condition Acc (Dcc) on a quasi-essential submodule of M if every ascending (descending) chain of a quasi-essential submodules $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_n \subseteq \dots$ respectively $(N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_n \supseteq \dots)$ terminates.

We start with the following result:

Proposition 4.1

An R -module M satisfy Acc (Dcc) on a quasi-essential submodules of M if each a quasi-essential submodule of M is finitely generated.

Proof

Let $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_n \subseteq \dots$ be ascending chain of a quasi-essential submodules N_i of M . Put $\sum_{i \in I} N_i = N$, then N is a quasi-essential submodule of M by theorem 1.3, and hence N is finitely generated submodule of M . Therefore, there exist a finite set $I_0 \subseteq I$, such that $\sum_{i \in I_0} N_i = N$. Hence the chain $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_n \subseteq \dots$ is terminates.

Proposition 4.2

Let M be an R -module such that M satisfy Acc (Dcc) on a quasi-essential submodule of M . Then $\frac{M}{N}$ satisfy Acc (Dcc) on a quasi-essential submodule of $\frac{M}{N}$ for each submodule N of M contained in every a quasi-prime submodule of M .

Proof

Suppose that M satisfy Acc (Dcc) on a quasi-essential submodules $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_n \subseteq \dots$ of M . Let $\pi : M \rightarrow \frac{M}{N}$ is a natural homomorphism and let $\frac{N_1}{N} \subseteq \frac{N_2}{N} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \frac{N_n}{N} \subseteq \dots$ be ascending chain of a quasi-essential submodule of $\frac{M}{N}$ such that $N \subseteq N_i$ for each i . Hence $\pi^{-1}\left(\frac{N_i}{N}\right) = N_i$ is a quasi-essential submodules M for each i by Prop.2.3. Hence $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_n \subseteq \dots$ is ascending chain of a quasi-essential submodule of M . But M satisfy Acc on a quasi-essential submodules of M , then there exist a positive integer n such that $N_n = N_{n+1} = \dots$ and $\frac{N_n}{N} = \frac{N_{n+1}}{N} = \dots$. Therefore $\frac{M}{N}$ satisfy Acc on a quasi-essential submodule of $\frac{M}{N}$.

Similarly for Dcc.

The next proposition gives the relation between the R -module M satisfy Acc (Dcc) on a quasi-essential submodules of M and a ring R that satisfy Acc (Dcc) on semi-essential ideal.

Proposition 4.3

Let M be finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module. Then M satisfy Acc (Dcc) on a quasi-essential submodule of M if and only if R satisfy Acc (Dcc) on semi-essential ideal.

Proof

Suppose that M satisfy Dcc on a quasi-essential submodule of M , and let

$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_n \supseteq \dots$ be descending chain of semi-essential ideal of R . Then $I_1M \supseteq I_2M \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_nM \supseteq \dots$ be a descending chain on a quasi-essential submodules of M by Prop.3.1. But M is satisfy Dcc on a quasi-essential submodules of M , then there exist a positive integer n such that $I_nM = I_{n+1}M = \dots$. But M is finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module, then $I_n = I_{n+1} = \dots$ [3.Th.3.1]. Hence R satisfy Dcc on semi-essential ideals.

Conversely; Suppose that R satisfy Dcc on semi-essential ideals of R , and let

$N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_n \supseteq \dots$ be descending chain of a quasi-essential submodules of M . Since M is multiplication R -module, then $N_i = I_iM$ for some semi-essential ideal I_i of R for each $i=1,2,\dots,n,\dots$ by Prop.3.1. Thus $I_1M \supseteq I_2M \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_nM \supseteq \dots$ and since M is finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module, then $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_n \supseteq \dots$ be descending chain of semi-essential ideals of R by [3,Th3.1]. But R satisfy Dcc on semi-essential ideals, thus there exist a positive integer n such that $I_n = I_{n+1} = \dots$.

Hence $I_nM = I_{n+1}M = \dots$. Therefore M satisfy Dcc on quasi-essential submodules of M .

Similar proof for Acc.

Theorem 4.4

Let M be finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module. Then the following statement are equivalent:

- 1- M satisfy Acc (Dcc) on quasi-essential submodules of M .
- 2- R satisfy Acc (Dcc) on semi-essential ideals.
- 3- $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ satisfies Acc(Dcc) on semi-essential ideals.

- 4- M satisfy Acc (Dcc) on quasi-essential submodules of as S -module.
 5- M satisfy Acc (Dcc) on semi-essential submodules as S -module.
 6- M satisfy Acc (Dcc) on semi-essential submodules as R -module.

Proof

1 \rightarrow 2 [*Prop.4.3*]

2 \rightarrow 3 Since M is finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module, then $R \cong S$ by [7,Cor3.3], so R satisfy Acc (Dcc) on semi-essential ideals if and only if S satisfies Acc(Dcc) on semi-essential ideals.

3 \rightarrow 4 [prop.3.1].

4 \rightarrow 5 [Th.3.3].

5 \rightarrow 6 Since M is finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module then $R \cong S$ by [Cor.3.3], then S satisfies Acc(Dcc) on semi-essential ideals so is R and hence M satisfy Acc (Dcc) on a quasi-essential submodules of M by Th.3.3.

6 \rightarrow 1[Th.3.3].

References

1. Abdul-Razak H.M (1999)"Quasi-prime modules and Quasi-prime submodules" M. Sc. Thesis. Uni. Of Baghdad.
2. Abdullah N.K. (2005)" Semi-essential submodules and semi-uniform modules" M. Sc. Thesis. Uni. Of Tikrit.
3. Elbast Z.A. and Smith P.F. (1988)" Multiplication modules" Comm. I Algebra, 16. P755-779.
4. Kasch F. (1982)"Modules and Rings" Academic Press, New York.
5. Larsen M.D. And MacCarthy P.J. (1971)" Multiplication Theory of Ideals " Academic Press, New York.
6. Lu C.P. (1984) "Prime submodule of modules" Comment Math.,Uni. Stpaul.33 PP.61-69.
7. Naoum A.G. (1994) "On the Ring of Endomorphism of finitely generated multiplication modules" Periodica Mathemtica Hungarica,29. PP.277-284.