

**STATISTICAL MODELS
PRODUCED FROM FISHER
INFORMATION FUNCTION**

**Prof. Dr. Ahmed Al – Aloosy
Azzam A. Tawfiq
Baghdad College of Economic Sciences
University**

Abstract

Statistics the science of extracting information from data appears the most natural field of application of information theoretic methods in statistics. the Fisher information $I(\theta)$ is the variance of score . it is named in honor of its inventor the statistician R. A .Fisher .the fisher information is the amount of information that an observable random variable X carries about unobservable parameter θ upon which the likelihood function of $X, L(\theta) = F(X; \theta)$, depends.

The likelihood function is the joint probability of the data , the X_s , conditional on the value of θ , as a function of θ . Since the expectation of the score is zero , the variance is simply the second moment of the likelihood function with respect to θ . Hence the Fisher information can be written

$$I(\theta) = E \{ [\partial/\partial\theta \ln f(x, \theta)]^2 | \theta \} \quad \text{Which implies} \quad 0 \leq I(\theta) < \infty .$$

We are discussing the regular estimation case when:

1- The range of the random variable X does not depend upon the unknown parameter θ .
i.e $a \leq x \leq b$ where a and b are constants .

2- Differentiation with respect to θ can be carried out under the integral $\int f(x, \theta) dx$ where the limits from $c(\theta)$ to $d(\theta)$ depend on θ .

Both univariate and multivariate parameters using fisher information matrix .

Key words: maximum likelihood , Leibnitz rule , fisher information matrix.

المخلص

إن نظرية المعلوماتية تعني إستخلاص اعظم درجة من المعلومات المأخوذة من العينة حول معالم المجتمع . العالم فشر هو الذي ابتكر طريقة تقدير معالم المجتمع باستخدام طريقة الامكان الاعظم ، ويمكن تعميم ذلك الى اكثر من عينة لتقدير معالم المجتمع بواسطة ايجاد التغيرات المشترك واستخراج المصفوفة المناسبة.

ان معادلة فشر للمعلوماتية يمكن كتابتها بالصيغة التالية

$$I(\theta) = E \{ [\partial/\partial\theta \ln f(x, \theta)]^2 | \theta \} \quad \text{where} \quad 0 \leq I(\theta) < \infty$$

لقد تم استخدام نظرية ليبنتز في حالة التكامل عندما تكون النهايات دوال للمتغير .

Fisher information function and information matrix:

Case (1): one parameter

Let $f(x, \theta)$ be the p.d.f or a r.v. x , may be discrete or continuous, we assume that $f(x, \theta)$ depends upon a continuous parameter θ .

Let Ω denote the parameter space, we also assume that the range of the r.v. x does not depend upon the unknown parameter θ .

The regular estimation case :

To have a regular estimation case when :

- a- The range of the r.v.x does not depend upon the unknown parameter θ
i.e $a \leq x \leq b$
- b- Differentiation w.r.t. θ can be carried out under the integral sign
i.e $\int_c^d f(x, \theta) dx$ or we can differentiate the integral

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int_c^d f(x, \theta) dx = \int_c^d \left\{ \frac{d}{d\theta} f(x, \theta) \right\} dx$$

(In condition (b) if the limits c and b are functions of θ , say $c(\theta)$ and $d(\theta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{c(\theta)}^{d(\theta)} f(x, \theta) dx &= \int_{c(\theta)}^{d(\theta)} \frac{d}{d\theta} f(x, \theta) dx + f\{d(\theta), \theta\} d'(\theta) \\ &\quad - f\{c(\theta), \theta\} d'c'(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Deferent rule for differentiation under integral sign).

Since $\left\{ \int_a^b f(x, \theta) dx = 1 \right\}$ for any $\theta \in \Omega$

Differentiate both sides w. r.t. θ we set:

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int_a^b f(x, \theta) dx = \int_a^b \frac{d}{d\theta} f(x, \theta) dx = 0 \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$$\text{Or} \int_a^b \frac{d \log f(x, \theta)}{d\theta} f(x, \theta) dx = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

$$\text{Or} E \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x, \theta) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

Differentiate (2) w.r.t θ under the integral sign .

$$\int_a^b \left[\left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f(x, \theta) \right) f(x, \theta) + \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x, \theta) \right) \frac{df}{d\theta}(x, \theta) \right] dx = 0$$

$$\therefore \int_a^b \left(\frac{d}{d\theta^2} \log f(x, \theta) \right) f(x, \theta) dx + \int_a^b \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x, \theta) \right)^2 f(x, \theta) dx = 0$$

$$\therefore E \left(\frac{d^2 \log f(x, \theta)}{d\theta^2} \right) = E \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x, \theta) \right)^2 = I(\theta) \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

Where $I(\theta)$ is called fishers , information function .

Note: that from (3) and(4)

$$\text{Var} \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x, \theta) \right) = I(\theta) \geq 0$$

Likelihood function and $I(\theta)$:

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be n independent observations on the r.v. X , then the function $L = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta)$

Is called the likelihood function of the given sample

$$\begin{aligned} \log L &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i, \theta) \\ \frac{d}{d\theta} \log L &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x_i, \theta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore E \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log L \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n E \left\{ \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x, \theta) \right\} = 0 \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

Also :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \log l}{d\theta^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f(x_i, \theta) \\ \therefore E \left(-\frac{d^2 \log l}{d\theta^2} \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n E \left\{ \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f(x_i, \theta) \right\} = n I(\theta) \dots\dots\dots (6) \end{aligned}$$

From (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log l \right)^2 &= \sum_i^n \sum_i^n \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x_i, \theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x_i, \theta) \\ \therefore E \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log l \right)^2 &= E \left\{ \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x_i, \theta) \cdot \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x_i, \theta) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Since x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are independent , so are the function

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x_i, \theta), \dots, \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x_n, \theta). \text{ This gives} \\ E \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log l \right)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n E \left\{ \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x_i, \theta) \right\}^2 = n I(\theta) \dots\dots\dots (7) \end{aligned}$$

From (6) and (7) we observe

$$E \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log l \right)^2 = E \left(-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log l \right) = n I(\theta) \dots\dots\dots (8)$$

Where $n I(\theta)$ is called fisher's amount information contained in the sample about the parameter θ .

Example :

Let x have binomial dist.

X=1 if trial gives success

X=0 otherwise

If $p = P(X=1)$, and $q = P(X=0)$ (where $p+q=1$)

Then x has the p.d.f.

$$f(x, p) = P(X=x) = p^x (1-p)^{1-x}$$

$$\therefore \log f(x, p) = x \log p + (1-x) \log(1-p)$$

$$\frac{d \log f(x, p)}{d p} = \frac{x}{p} - \frac{1-x}{1-p}$$

$$\therefore E\left(\frac{d \log f(x, p)}{d p}\right) = \frac{E(x)}{p} - \frac{E(1-x)}{1-p} = \frac{p}{p} - \frac{1-p}{1-p} = 0$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d p^2} \log f(x, p) = -\frac{x}{p^2} - \frac{1-x}{(1-p)^2}$$

$$I(p) = E\left(-\frac{d^2}{d p^2} \log f(x, p)\right) = \frac{E(x)}{p^2} + \frac{1-E(x)}{(1-p)^2} = 0$$

$$= \frac{p}{p^2} + \frac{1-p}{(1-p)^2} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{1-p} = \frac{1}{p(1-p)}$$

Alternatively if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are independent observations

i.e. $P(X=1) = p$ if trial is success

$$= 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

And if $Z = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$

Then the p.d.f. for the r.v. Z in the same likelihood function

Function of the given sample i.e.

$$P(Z=z) = \binom{n}{z} p^z (1-p)^{n-z}$$

We get

$$n I(p) = \frac{n}{p(1-p)}$$

Example (2) : consider the gamma dist. function having the p.d.f.

$$F(x, \theta, l) = \frac{1}{\theta^l \Gamma(l)} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} \cdot x^{l-1} \quad x \geq 0 \quad \theta, l > 0$$

(we have shown that $E(x) = l\theta$, $V(x) = l\theta^2$).

Case (1) l is known, hence the p.d.f. is

$$F(x, \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^l \Gamma(l)} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} \cdot x^{l-1}$$

$$\log f(x, \theta) = -l \log \theta - \log(\Gamma(l)) - \frac{x}{\theta} + (l-1) \log x$$

$$\frac{d \log f(x, \theta)}{d \theta} = -\frac{l}{\theta} + \frac{x}{\theta^2}$$

$$E\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x, \theta)\right) = -\frac{l}{\theta} + \frac{E(x)}{\theta^2} = 0 \rightarrow E(x) = l\theta$$

$$\frac{d^2 \log f(x, \theta)}{d \theta^2} = \frac{l}{\theta^2} - \frac{2x}{\theta^3}$$

$$\therefore I(\theta) = E\left(-\frac{d^2}{d \theta^2} \log(x, \theta)\right) = -\frac{l}{\theta^2} + \frac{2E(X)}{\theta^3} = \frac{l}{\theta^2}$$

Alternatively:

$$\begin{aligned} I(\theta) &= E\left(\frac{d \log f(x, \theta)}{d \theta}\right)^2 = E\left\{\frac{l^2}{\theta^2} + \frac{x^2}{\theta^4} - \frac{2xl}{\theta^3}\right\} \\ &= \frac{l^2}{\theta^2} + \frac{l\theta^2 + l^2\theta^2}{\theta^4} - \frac{2l \cdot l\theta}{\theta^3} \\ &= \frac{l^2}{\theta^2} + \frac{l+l^2}{\theta^2} - \frac{2l^2}{\theta^2} = \frac{l}{\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

Or rearrange we obtain: $E\left(\frac{x-l\theta}{\theta^2}\right)^2 = \frac{l}{\theta^2}$ which is the same results as before .

Case (2) θ is known : the p . d . f . is $f(x, l) = \frac{1}{\theta^l \Gamma(l)} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} \cdot x^{l-1}$

$$\log f(x, l) = -l \log \theta - \log \Gamma(l) - \frac{x}{\theta} + (l-1) \log x$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \log f(x, l)}{d l} &= -\log \theta - \frac{d}{d l} \log(\Gamma(l)) + \log(x) \\ &= -\log \theta - F(l) - \log x \text{ where } F(l) = \text{digamma function} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d^2 \log f(x, l)}{d l^2} = -\frac{d^2}{d l^2} \log \Gamma(l) = -F'(l) = \text{Trigamma function}$$

$$I(l) = E\left(-\frac{d^2}{d l^2} \log f(x, l)\right) = F'(l)$$

The digamma function and Trigamma function are tabulated for several values of l , we have for large values of l as in " Whitker , Watson book , modern Analysis

$$F(l) = \frac{d}{d l} \log \Gamma(l) = \log l - \frac{1}{2l}$$

If l is known to be large , the information function can be approximated :

$$I(l) = F'(l) = \frac{d^2}{d l^2} \log \Gamma(l) = \frac{d}{d l} F(l) = \frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{2l^2}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} I(l) &= E\left(\frac{d}{d l} \log f(x, l)\right)^2 = E\{-\log \theta - F(l) + \log x\}^2 \\ &= E\{(\log \theta)^2 + \{F(l)\}^2 + (\log x)^2 - 2(\log \theta)(\log x) - 2F(l)\log x + 2(\log \theta)F(l)\} \\ &= (\log \theta)^2 + \{F(l)\}^2 + E(\log x)^2 - 2\log \theta E(\log x) - 2F(l)E(\log x) + 2(\log \theta)F(l) \end{aligned}$$

We need the following lemma :

Let the r. v .x have the gamma distⁿ with p .d .f

$$F (x) = \frac{1}{\theta^l \Gamma(l)} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} \cdot x^{l-1}$$

Then

$$E (\log x) = \log \theta + F (1)$$

$$E (\log x)^2 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(l)\theta^l} \frac{d}{dl} [\Gamma(l) \theta^l] [\log \theta + F (1)]$$

proof : since f (x) is a p. d .f over $0 \leq X \leq \infty$ we must have $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f (x) dx = 1$

$$i . e \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} \cdot x^{l-1} \cdot dx = \theta^l \Gamma(l) \dots \dots \dots (A)$$

Differentiate equation (A) Both sides w. r. t. l, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dl} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} x^{l-1} dx &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} \left(\frac{d}{dl} x^{l-1} \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} x^{l-1} \log x \, dx \\ &= \frac{d}{dl} [\theta^l \Gamma(l)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \frac{d}{dl} [\theta^l \Gamma(l)] &= \Gamma(l) \theta^l \log \theta + \theta^l \frac{d}{dl} \Gamma(l) \\ &= \Gamma(l) \theta^l \left\{ \log \theta + \frac{1}{\Gamma(l)} \frac{d}{dl} \Gamma(l) \right\} \\ &= \Gamma(l) \theta^l \{ \log \theta + f(l) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} x^{l-1} (\log x) \, dx = \Gamma(l) \theta^l \{ \log \theta + F(l) \} \dots \dots \dots (B)$$

Equation (B) can be written as:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(l)\theta^l} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} x^{l-1} (\log x) \, dx = \log \theta + F(l)$$

This mean $E (\log x) = \log \theta + F (1)$

Similarly differentiate (B) w. r. t l we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} x^{l-1} (\log x)^2 \, dx &= \frac{d}{dl} [\Gamma(l) \theta^l] [\log \theta + F (1)] \\ \therefore E (\log x)^2 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(l)\theta^l} \frac{d}{dl} [\Gamma(l) \theta^l] [\log \theta + F (1)] \end{aligned}$$

Note : that we can show that

$$I (1) = E \left(\frac{d}{dl} \log f (x , l) \right)^2 = F (l) \text{ Trigamma.}$$

Fisher's information matrix :

Let the r.v .x have a p. d.ff $(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$

Depending on kunknown parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k$. Again assume that we have a regular estimation case .

$$\text{Since } \int_a^b f (x , \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) dx = 1 \dots \dots (1)$$

We get on differentiating both sides w. r. t . θ_i

$$\frac{d}{d\theta_i} \int_a^b f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) dx = 0 \rightarrow \int_a^b \frac{d}{d\theta_i} f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) dx = 0$$

i.e

$$\int_a^b \frac{d}{d\theta_i} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) dx = 0 \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

This means

$$E\left(\frac{d}{d\theta_i} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right) = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k \quad \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

Differentiating (2) w. r. t. θ_j $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k$ we get :

$$\int_a^b \left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta_i d\theta_j} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right) f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) dx + \int_a^b \left(\frac{d}{d\theta_i} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right) \left(\frac{d}{d\theta_j} f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right) dx = 0$$

Or

$$\int_a^b \left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta_i d\theta_j} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right) f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) dx + \int_a^b \left(\frac{d}{d\theta_i} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right) \left(\frac{d}{d\theta_j} f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right) dx = 0$$

Hence

$$E\left(\frac{d}{d\theta_i} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right) \cdot \frac{d}{d\theta_j} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) = E\left(-\frac{d^2}{d\theta_i d\theta_j} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right) \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

Where : $i=1,2,\dots,\dots,k, j= 1,2,\dots,\dots,k$.

Define a matrix $I(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k) = ((I_{ij}))_{k \times k}$

This is known a fisher's information matrix .

Remark (1) from eq (3) we have $E\left(\frac{d}{d\theta_i} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right) = 0$ then

$$I_{ij} = cov\left\{\frac{d}{d\theta_i} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k), \frac{d}{d\theta_j} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right\} = E\left\{\frac{d}{d\theta_i} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k), \frac{d}{d\theta_j} \log f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)\right\}$$

Remark (2) if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is a random sample of n independent observations on the x and if

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$$

Is the likelihood function of the given sample , then we can show that

$$E\left(\frac{d}{d\theta_i} \log f(x_1, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)\right) = 0 \quad I = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

And

$$E\left(-\frac{d^2}{d\theta_i d\theta_j} \log l\right) = E\left\{\frac{d}{d\theta_i} \log l \cdot \frac{d}{d\theta_j} \log l\right\} = n I_{ij}$$

Example(1) : consider the two – parameter normal distⁿ.

$$f(x, \theta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad -\infty \leq X \leq \infty, \quad \sigma^2 \geq 0, \quad -\infty \leq \theta \leq \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log f &= -\log a - \log \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{(x-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2} \\ &= -\log \sigma - \text{const} - \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\theta x}{\sigma^2} - \frac{\theta^2}{2\sigma^2} \\ \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f &= \frac{x}{\sigma^2} - \frac{\theta}{\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$E\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f\right) = E\left(\frac{x}{\sigma^2} - \frac{\theta}{\sigma^2}\right) = 0$$

$$\frac{d^2 \log f}{d\theta^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\therefore I_{ij} = E\left(-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f\right) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\text{Also } \frac{d^2}{d\theta d\sigma^2} \log f = \frac{d^2}{d\theta d\sigma} \log f \frac{d\sigma}{d\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2\sigma} \frac{d^2}{d\theta d\sigma} \log f$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sigma} \left[-\frac{2x}{\sigma^3} + \frac{2\theta}{\sigma^3}\right] = \frac{-x+\theta}{\sigma^4}$$

$$\therefore I_{12} = E\left(\frac{d^2}{d\theta d\sigma^2} \log f\right) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\sigma^2} \log f &= \frac{1}{2\sigma} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \log f = \frac{1}{2\sigma} \left[-\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{x^2}{\sigma^3} - \frac{2\theta x}{\sigma^3} + \frac{\theta^2}{\sigma^3}\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{\theta x}{\sigma^4} + \frac{\theta^2}{2\sigma^4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore E\left(\frac{d}{d\sigma^2} \log f\right) &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{E(x^2)}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{\theta E(X)}{\sigma^4} + \frac{\theta^2}{2\sigma^4} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{E(x^2)}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{\theta E(X)}{\sigma^4} + \frac{\theta^2}{2\sigma^4} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d^2\sigma^2} \log f = \frac{1}{2\sigma} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left(\frac{d}{d\sigma^2} \log f\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2\sigma} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{\theta x}{\sigma^4} + \frac{\theta^2}{2\sigma^4}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sigma} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma^3} + \frac{2x^2}{\sigma^5} - \frac{4\theta x}{\sigma^5} + \frac{2\theta^2}{\sigma^5}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{x^2}{\sigma^6} + \frac{2\theta x}{\sigma^6} + \frac{\theta^2}{\sigma^6} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore I_{12} = E\left(-\frac{d^2}{d^2\sigma^2} \log f\right) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} + \frac{\theta^2}{\sigma^6} + \frac{\sigma^2 + \theta^2}{\sigma^6} - \frac{2\sigma^2}{\sigma^6}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sigma^4}$$

Hence the information matrix is $I = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{pmatrix}$

Example (2) : consider the two parameters gamma distⁿ

$$f(x, \theta, l) = \frac{1}{\theta^l \Gamma(l)} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} \cdot x^{l-1}$$

We have

$$\log f = -l \log \theta - \log \Gamma(l) - \frac{x}{\theta} + (l-1) \log x$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f = -\frac{l}{\theta} + \frac{x}{\theta^2}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f = \frac{l}{\theta^2} + \frac{2x}{\theta^3}$$

$$\therefore I_{11} = E \left(-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f \right) = \frac{l}{\theta^3}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta dl} \log f = -\frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$\therefore I_{12} = E \left(-\frac{d^2}{d\theta dl} \log f \right) = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$\frac{d}{dl} \log f = -\log \theta - \mathcal{F}(l) + \log x$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dl^2} = -\mathcal{F}(l)$$

$$\therefore I_{22} = E \left(-\frac{d^2}{dl^2} \log f \right) = \mathcal{F}(l)$$

Hence the information matrix is

$$I(\theta, l) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{l}{\theta^2} & \frac{1}{\theta} \\ \frac{1}{\theta} & \mathcal{F}(l) \end{pmatrix}$$

References

- Frieden, B. Roy (2004) *Science from Fisher Information: A Unification*. Cambridge Univ. Press. ISBN 0-521-00911-1.
- Hald, A. (May 1999). "On the History of Maximum Likelihood in Relation to Inverse Probability and Least Squares". *Statistical Science* **14** (2): 214–222. JSTOR 2676741.
- Hald, A. (1998). *A History of Mathematical Statistics from 1750 to 1930*. New York: Wiley. ISBN 0-471-17912-4.
- Lehmann, E. L.; Casella, G. (1998). *Theory of Point Estimation* (2nd ed.). Springer. ISBN 0-387-98502-6.
- Pratt, John W. (May 1976). "F. Y. Edgeworth and R. A. Fisher on the Efficiency of Maximum Likelihood Estimation". *The Annals of Statistics* **4** (3): 501–514. doi:10.1214/aos/1176343457. JSTOR 2958222.
- Leonard J. Savage (May 1976). "On Rereading R. A. Fisher". *The Annals of Statistics* **4** (3): 441–500. doi:10.1214/aos/1176343456. JSTOR 2958221.
- Shrewish, Mark J. (1995). "Section 2.3.1". *Theory of Statistics*. New York: Springer. ISBN 0-387-94546-6.
- Stephen Stigler (1986). *The History of Statistics: The Measurement of Uncertainty before 1900*. Harvard University Press. ISBN 0-674-40340-1.^[page needed]
- Stephen M. Stigler (1978). "Francis Ysidro Edgeworth, Statistician". *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A* **141** (3): 287–322. doi:10.2307/2344804. JSTOR 2344804.
- Stephen Stigler (1999). *Statistics on the Table: The History of Statistical Concepts and Methods*. Harvard University Press. ISBN 0-674-83601-4.^[page needed]
- Kendall, Maurice. And Stuart, Alan (1961). *The Advance Theory Of Statistics*. (Volume 2) .Charles Griffin & Company limited.
- Cramer, Harald. (1961). *Mathematical Methods Of Statistics*. Princeton University Press.
- Rao, Radhakrishna C.(1965). *Linear Statistical Inference And Its Applications*. (2nded) . John Wiley & Sons.